

Paris, July 10-14, 2023



# Flux balance analysis

Steffen Waldherr





### From metabolic networks to models



## A whole-cell perspective on metabolism



**Optimality principle** instead of kinetics: Maximize growth subject to flux balance and uptake constraints

**Restrictions** for "basic" FBA:

- Fixed composition of biomass
- (Quasi-)steady state
- Metabolite concentrations fall out of the model
- Limited consideration of thermodynamics

#### Constraints on fluxes

1. Steady state constraint

Sv = 0

- Fluxes constrained to subspace
- 2. Irreversibility constraints on some fluxes (from thermodynamics/heuristics/empirical evidence)

 $v_i \ge 0, \qquad i \text{ irreversible}$ 

- Fluxes constraint to flux cone
- 3. Flux bounds from capacity constraints, maintenance, ...

 $v_{i,min} \leq v \leq v_{i,max}$ 

Fluxes constraint to convex polytope

#### Geometric illustration



Flux space  $\rightarrow$  cone  $\rightarrow$  polytope example



Construct the ...

- flux space;
- flux cone assuming  $v_2$ ,  $v_3 \ge 0$ ;
- flux polytope assuming  $v_1 \leq 0.5$ .

Molar balancing:

$$\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Flux space from Sv = 0

Plane defined by

$$v_1 - v_2 - v_3 = 0$$



#### Flux cone



#### Flux cone

Add irreversibility

 $v_2, v_3 \ge 0$ 



#### Flux polytope

Add upper bound(s)  $v_1 \le 0.5$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $v_1$  $^{-1}$ 



## Setting up the constraint based model (CBM)

Constraint based model useful if non-trivial steady state fluxes exist

The steady state equation

$$Sv = 0$$

should have a non-zero solution  $v \Rightarrow$  non-trivial steady state flux space

• We need  $\operatorname{rank} S < m$ ; most models have more reactions than metabolites anyway.

#### Metabolite / flux units

- In CBMs, metabolites are usually considered in molar amounts per dry biomass: mmol/g
- $\blacktriangleright$  Fluxes are then in  $\rm mmol/(gh)$

## Exchange reactions

- Exchange reactions are added for all metabolites that are either consumed or produced in a metabolic steady state.
- They normally involve only extracellular metabolites.
- ▶ By convention, the reaction **direction** is towards the outside of the system





$$\rightarrow$$
 exchange reaction

#### Positive vs. negative flux on exchange reaction

- Negative flux = actually goes into the system = supply (consumption) of a metabolite
- ▶ Positive flux = goes outside of system = **removal** (production) of a metabolite

### **Biomass composition**

#### E. coli biomass composition

Compound Proportion [% g/g DW	
Protein	72
DNA	4
RNA	10
Lipids	9
Polysaccharides	2.5
Mureine	2.5

Chassagnole *et al.* 2002, via bionumbers.hms.harvard.edu, ID 108705 Varies depending on environmental conditions (nutrients, aerobic/anaerobic, growth rate, ...)

#### Break down to metabolites

- 20 proteinogenic amino acids
- ▶ 8 D/R nucleotides
- phospholipids
- cofactors / vitamins
- ATP hydrolysis required for biomass assembly ("growth associated maintenance" GAM)

#### **Biomass reaction**

Biomass reaction formalizes consumption of metabolites to generate biomass

$$v_{bio}: \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i o 1 ext{ g dry biomass}$$

- Based on pre-determined constant biomass composition
- Coefficients  $c_i$  commonly in mmol / g dry biomass
- Unit of  $v_{bio}$  becomes 1/h: interpretable as dry biomass growth rate  $\mu$ !

#### Maintenance

"Non-growth associated maintenance" (NGAM):

- membrane voltage gradients and osmolarity (ion pumps)
- movement (flagella)
- macromolecule (RNA/protein/carbohydrates) turnover
- ► Energy demand is commonly represented by a single ATP hydrolysis reaction

 $v_{maint}$ : ATP + H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  ADP + Pi + H<sup>+</sup>

- Put as constraint into constraint based model
  - $v_{maint} \ge \alpha \text{ [mmol / (h \cdot g biomass)]}$
  - ▶ NGAM rate estimates: E. coli 8.4 mmol/g/h; S. cerevisiae 1.0 mmol/g/h

## Optimization principle

#### Constraint based model

Sv = 0

$$v_{i,min} \le v_i \le v_{i,max}$$

- ▶ Underdetermined system of equalities / inequalities: flux polytope
- ▶ How do we determine fluxes v that we expect to occur in nature?

#### Add an optimization objective

Hypothesis: Cells regulate fluxes within constraints to achieve an "optimal" configuration from an evolutionary perspective.

$$\max J(v)$$
s.t.  $Sv = 0$ 
 $v_{i,min} \le v_i \le v_{i,max}$ 

## Useful objective functions

Туре	Objective $J(v)$	Principle	
Biomass yield	$\boxed{\max v_{bio}}$	Biomass flux at fixed max. substrate up- take	
ATP yield	$\max v_{ATP}$	ATP flux at fixed max. substrate up- take	
Minimal flux	$\min \ v\ ^2$	$\begin{array}{lll} {\sf Minimization} & {\sf of} \\ {\sf overall} & {\sf flux} & (\sim \\ {\sf enzyme} \ {\sf usage}) \end{array}$	
Biomass flux yield	$\boxed{\max v_{bio}/\ v\ ^2}$	Biomass yield per overall flux unit	

Empirical evaluation of objective functions: Schuetz, R., Kuepfer, L., & Sauer, U. (2007). Systematic evaluation of objective functions for predicting intracellular fluxes in *Escherichia coli*. Molecular Systems Biology, 3, 119.

### Collections of constraint based models

#### **BiGG Models**

Home Advanced Search Data Access Memote Validator 2\*

Search Database Search

#### Search Results ®

Exclude multistrain models from search

#### Models

0 0 1 to 108 (106) 0 0						
BIGG ID	Organism	e Metabolites	Reactions	e Genes e		
e_col_core	Escherichia coli str. K-12 substr. MG1655	n	95	137		
IAB_RBC_283	Homo sapiens	342	460	346		
WF1260	Escherichia coli str. K-12 substr. MG1655	1660	2362	1261		
WF12606	Escherichia coli str. K-12 substr. MG1655	1660	2368	1261		
WF092	Methanosarcina barkeri str. Fusaro	628	690	692		
WF987	Geobacter metallizeducens GS-15	1109	1265	937		
WM_P6448	Plasmodum berghei	903	1067	448		
IAM_P0455	Plasmodium cynomolgi strain B	907	1074	455		
WW_P9680	Plasmodium falciparum 307	909	1063	490		
WM_P%459	Plasmodium knowlesi strain H	900	1079	459		
WM_PV81	Plasmodium vivax Sal-1	900	1078	461		
IAPECO1_1312	Escherichia coll APEC O1	1942	2735	1313		
WT_PLT_636	Homo sapiens	738	1008	636		
IB21_1397	Escharichia coli BL21(DE3)	1943	2741	1337		
IRWO 1329	Fachasizhia rell RW2852	1940	2741	1329		

- BiGG models database: http://bigg.ucsd.edu/models
- ModelSEED (plant models): https://modelseed.org/genomes/

#### Linear programs

A linear program in standard form:



Example

$$\max_{v_1, v_2} v_2$$
  
s.t.  $v_1 + v_2 = 1$   
 $v \ge 0$ 

Thus:

$$c^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$b = 1$$



## Generalized geometrical interpretation



## Non-uniqueness of optimal solutions



Set of optimal solutions is a face of the polytope

#### Unboundedness



Unboundedness:  $\max c^{\mathrm{T}}v = \infty$ 

## Infeasibility: Constraint set is empty



#### Example

$$v_1 + v_2 \le -1$$
$$v_1, v_2 \ge 0$$

Flux balance analysis (FBA)

#### FBA to maximize biomass yield as LP

 $J^* = \max v_{bio}$ s.t. Sv = 0 $v_{i,min} \le v_i \le v_{i,max}$ 

▶ Typical relevant constraint is glucose / oxygen uptake rate

$$-v_{e,gluc,max} \le v_{e,gluc} \le 0$$

- For practical reasons  $v_{i,max} = M (10^6 \text{ mole/h/g})$  even if no capacity constraint
- $\blacktriangleright$  Typically no unique optimal flux distribution  $v^{\ast}$

### FBA example: E. coli core

- ► Core carbon network from BiGG database: 72 metabolites, 95 reactions
- Network visualization from https://escher.github.io/



## FBA results: comparing intracellular flux states

With a graphical layout of the metabolic network is available: graphical illustration of intracellular metabolic state



 ${\sf Made with \ escher.github.io}$ 

## Dynamic FBA: general idea

- > Put FBA models in a dynamic context (biomass growth, nutrient consumption)
- Starting from a mass balancing model like the Monod model:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu(c)X$$
$$\frac{dc}{dt} = -\frac{\mu(c)}{Y_{X/c}}X$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  replace the growth rate  $\mu(c)$  by an "optimal" growth rate from FBA model
- replace the substrate / product rates by exchange fluxes from FBA model

#### Key steps / questions

- How do we set the reaction constraints (mostly transport capacity) based on the changing nutrient availability?
- Connect the FBA-based part (optimization problem) to the dynamic part (differential equation model)

## Integrating the DFBA model parts



EPCB book, chapter "Optimal cell behavior in time"

- ► Enzyme kinetics for bounds  $v_{i,min}(c)$ ,  $v_{i,max}(c)$ : usually only a couple of (uptake) reactions
- Optimal growth rate & exchange fluxes from FBA model are used in dynamic equations

## DFBA: Example with *E. coli* core model

Oxygen co.



Exchange constraints

в

$$\begin{array}{l} -10.5 \; \frac{\text{mmol}}{\text{gDW h}} \frac{c_{\text{G}}}{2.7 \; \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}} + c_{\text{G}}} \leq v_{\text{G}} \leq 0 \\ -30 \; \frac{\text{mmol}}{\text{gDW h}} \frac{c_{\text{O}_2}}{10 \; \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}} + c_{\text{O}_2}} \leq v_{\text{O}_2} \leq 0 \\ 0 \leq v_E \end{array}$$

$$-30 \frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{gDW}\,\mathrm{h}} \frac{c_\mathrm{A}}{100\,\frac{\mathrm{mg}}{\mathrm{L}} + c_\mathrm{A}} \leq v_\mathrm{A}$$

C Dynamic equations

$$\begin{split} \dot{X} &= \mu X \\ \dot{c}_{\mathrm{O}_2} &= v_{\mathrm{O}_2} m_{\mathrm{O}_2} X + k_L a (10 \, \frac{\mathrm{mg}}{\mathrm{L}} - c_{\mathrm{O}_2}) \\ \dot{c}_{\mathrm{G}} &= v_{\mathrm{G}} m_{\mathrm{G}} X \\ \dot{c}_{\mathrm{E}} &= v_{\mathrm{E}} m_{\mathrm{E}} X \\ \dot{c}_{\mathrm{A}} &= v_{\mathrm{A}} m_{\mathrm{A}} X \end{split}$$



EPCB book, chapter "Optimal cell behavior in time"

## Outlook: further extensions of FBA

- Thermodynamic FBA
- Resource allocation models:
  - ME models
  - Resource balance analysis
  - Dynamic enzyme-cost FBA

Exercise on https://principlescellphysiology.org/ book-economic-principles/index.html

Run FBA on the carbon core model (Jupyter notebook ightarrow Google Colaboratory)